Classical	Drange and Targeted Villings		
Shared	Drones and Targeted Killings		
Framework Title			
Amount	\$55,000 additional (\$830,000 total)		
Requested			
Detail on Amount	USP received a transfer of \$775,000. Upon developing some of the		
Requested	later grants, some adjustments in grantees and amounts raised the		
(Optional)	total needed to \$830,000 as of August/September 2015. This		
` '	amended allocation request accounts for the additional request of		
	\$55,000 and indicates where other changes in plans have been		
	made. Current and projected spending of SF funds and USP		
	contribution, as amended, is detailed further in the attached chart.		
Background	These proposed grants respond to workplan discussions primarily in		
(Optional)	reference to goal 1 and build on existing grantee and field		
	relationships of the National Security and Human Rights Campaign		
	as well as DC, JI, and RPI.		
Summary of	The proposed grants would seek to: pry loose additional information		
Plans and	about US policy and practice; document drone movement and		
Relation to	capacities worldwide; litigate and conduct advocacy to directly		
Overall Shared	challenge U.S. practices; develop policy proposals and engage in		
Framework Goals	insider and outside advocacy in the U.S. to promote transparency		
(100 words)	and accountability, while developing some connections to work		
	elsewhere. A limited amount of research on policy and an		
	exploration of the cultural dimensions of drones and targeted killing		
	would also be conducted. Although goal 2 may be advanced indirectly, this work is primarily directed to goal 1 and in specific		
	cases to goal 3, with regard to U.S. policy and practice. See attached		
	memo for additional detail.		
Activities	Through grantmaking, support:		
	•Litigation on pending FOIA requests; additional, targeted FOIA work		
Proposed (500	and related interviews (ACLU/NS Archive)		
words)	Maintenance and expansion of "World of Drones" database (New		
	America)		
	•Litigation and advocacy directly challenging U.S. practice and		
	collaboration (Reprieve)		
	•NEW: Research on viability/mechanism to control drone use -		
	RAND Corp (projected for Sept/Oct). Some funds had been set aside		
	for research for New America. We decided to go with RAND, which		
	will seek \$100,000.		
	•INCREASED GRANT AMOUNT: Policy advocacy, strengthening		
	military/intelligence, industry voices, and some transatlantic work -		
	Stimson Center (incrased from planned \$75,000 to \$125,000) to		
	allow grantee to focus on the work instead of fundraising.		
	• REVISED FOCUS: Research and advocacy: after-action investigation		
	process, complicit state responsibility, redress for IHL violations,		
	(confidential) work with foreign policy advisors – Columbia University		
	Human Rights Institute (and associated Law Clinic) (projected for		
	Sept/Oct)		

	ADDED: Becomb on the Will Chair! Complete to Fisher Comp		
	 ADDED: Research on the 'Kill Chain' - CorpWatch/Tides Center (projected for Sept/Oct) This is partially covered by the decision to drop the proposed grant to Paley (below), but requires additional funds to reach \$75,000. DECIDED AGAINST: Possible) cultural work on military technology and gaming, highlight <i>DRONES</i> documentary, and conference for popular media, to aid policy advocacy on compensation (Paley Media Center) 		
	Organizing and advocacy to develop a stronger ethical voice on		
	drones in the US religious community (Peace Action Education Fund		
Summary for Board Reports	This allocation to US Programs would provide up to \$775,000 of grantmaking support and leverage another \$350,000 from US		
(100 words)	Programs, primarily to U.S. organizations, to maintain pressure on		
	the U.S. to improve transparency and accountability of its drone		
	policy (goal 1) and to aid the effort to highlight victims' voices and		
	sensitize the U.S. for more thorough after-action investigations and compensation policy (goal 3), while building credible voices for		
	restraint among a range of US experts and religious groups. We		
	expect that this work will connect well to efforts in other parts of the		
	world – supplying information that will serve the larger project and		
	preparing the ground to be sensitive to external pressures on the		
	issue – that will grow over the course of the SF. An additional		
	\$55,000 would accommodate the changed highlighted above.		
Collaborating	Financial collaboration: US Programs (\$350,000) plus ongoing		
Programs within	advocacy work of grantees Human Rights First, National Security Initiative, and <i>Just Security</i> , among others. HRI would contribute to		
OSF and	the Reprieve grant (\$50,000) and also supports related work by		
essential	Amnesty and HRW. The other groups involved in the SF would		
partners or	collaborate through input and participation in meetings, events, and		
grantees	monitoring of the work. For proposed grantees, see above.		
Contributions	See above.		
expected from			
Collaborating			
Programs (250			
words)			
Statement of who	Lisa Magarrell (USP) would oversee the grantmaking and would		
within OSF would	guide the work in consultation with other members of the advisory		
guide the work	group and related programs.		

Approver (Lead)	Name	James Goldston
(See list on <u>Reserve Funds</u>	Date	5 May 2015; Additional funds: 3 September 2015
<u>KARL</u>)	Approved	
Approver (Lead)		
Notes /		
Comments		

(Optional) (To be completed by approver only)		
Urgency level for grant approval & payments	☑ Not Rapid Response	☐ Rapid Response (See procedure on KARL)
If approved,	Amount	\$775,000.00USD + <mark>\$55,000</mark>
where to allocate the budget?	Category of Work	SFS007 SHARED FRAMEWORK: Lethal Drones & Targeted Killings
(If the budget is split	Division/Program Code	21095.6
between multiple programs, please copy/paste this section as needed.)	Entity (i.e., FPOS, ZUG)	FPOS
	Fund Class (i.e., Lobbying/Non- Lobbying)	Non-lobbying
	Geography (of benefit)	U.Sbased grantees, but broader benefit to extend globally (including to Europe and areas of drone use)